Development of a Threshing Device for Pearl Millet
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ABSTRACT

Millets are high energy and nutritious foods recommended for the health and well-being of infants, lactating mothers and elderly people. Threshing of this crop still poses a lot of problems to local farmers. To make millet farming integral in Ghana, engineers are making concerted efforts to produce simple farm implements. This will complement other measures adopted by other stakeholders to ensure that there is food security. This paper therefore presents a community based millet thresher to reduce the burden farmers go through in threshing pearl millet. The millet thresher has a thresher welded to a steel shaft and then supported on a frame. Connected to the thresher are; an input mounted on the thresher and an output fitted to the exit of the thresher. The output comprises a sieve and a tray. A centrifugal fan supported on the frame supplies air that winnows the threshed grain coming out of the tray and the cleaned millet grains fall into a collector. The millet thresher mainly employs the effects of friction (taking advantage of the fact that millets can be effectively threshed by rubbing the panicles on a rough surface) for threshing. Results show that the
A medium-scale thresher-cleaner for legumes was tested on cowpeas [8]. The equipment has a conveyor, thresher fan and cleaning units. According to [9], the threshing unit is of the axial flow type. He also developed local machine model to suit threshing and separating faba bean crop. They determined the effect of cylinder speed and feed rates during threshing faba bean variety. Agricultural activities in Africa particularly in the rural communities are labour intensive. Ancient and ineffective farm implements are still in use. Not only does this phenomenon make this profession a dirty occupation, it also portrays it (the profession) as tiresome and none rewarding. These practices are in sharp contrast to the level of technological developments that characterize the other sectors of the economy of communities and nations. Millet processing especially threshing fits perfectly into this rather unfortunate scenario. In northern Ghana as well as other parts of Africa where millet is grown, threshing is done using a mortar and a pestle, a pestle and dents on large rock surfaces or beating the millet hipped on the ground. Winnowing is done using calabashes and baskets in the open space for the supply of air flow. This certainly does not befit the status of the modern day farmer who definitely applies modern scientific methodology to maximize farm output. To make (millet farming integral) in Ghana an alternative occupation rather than the last resort, concerted efforts should be made by (mechanical and agricultural engineers) to make simple farm implements to complement other measures adopted by other stake holders, this will tend to ensure food security. This paper proposes a community based millet thresher as one such intervention to reduce the burden farmers go through in threshing pearl millet.

The objective of this paper is to improve on the design of a millet threshing machine by introducing a counter thresher on community scale to ease the stresses undergone by subsistent farmers using the traditional method. This will also improve the quality of the threshed grain by minimizing the quantity of foreign materials like stones and seeds of weeds as in the case of the traditional method.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Mode of Operation of the Millet Thresher

The millet thresher operates on the principle of frictional effects. The operational sequence was illustrated for easy use. Malleable cast iron was selected for the design of the drum for castability, machinability, moderate strength, toughness, corrosion resistance and uniformity.

Standard design methods were used to design the weight \( w \) of the thresher (drum), the counter thresher, the shaft of the thresher, the Frame, the drive members and parameters. The power was calculated using a Design Factor of 1. The diameter of the shaft and the transmitted torque were determined by taking the maximum bending moment and maximum shear force into consideration. The millet thresher has a thresher welded to a steel shaft and then supported on a frame. Connected to the thresher are: an input and an output fitted to the exit of the thresher. The output comprises a sieve and a tray. A centrifugal fan supported on the frame supplies air that winnows the threshed grain coming out of the tray. The cleaned millet grains fall into a collector (not shown). The fan and the thresher are driven by their respective drive members. The drive members are driven by an electric motor. Fig. 2 shows both the isometric drawing and the orthogonal views of the proposed thresher.

Fig. 3 shows the exploded view of the proposed design whilst Table 1 is the Parts List of the proposed design.
The millet thresher operates on the principle of frictional effects. The operational sequence is illustrated in Fig. 4.

The operator introduces about ten panicles into the thresher via the input in the direction of the arrow 1. The thresher rotates in the anticlockwise direction at a speed of 950 revolutions per minute. The rotational speed is transmitted by the belt from the electric motor. The surface of the thresher has rows of closely packed studs. These studs drag the panicles, rubbing them against the surface of the counter thresher. The surface of the counter thresher has latticed projections. The space between the thresher and the counter thresher immediately after the input is large enough to accommodate the size of a panicle. The space reduces progressively to the size of a grain immediately before exit. The florets are stripped off the stalks (some of the grains are also stripped off the florets) in the larger space. As the thresher rotates, the stalks are crushed into pieces due to their brittleness (This is a personal experience) and the other grains are stripped of their florets in the much smaller space. In the smaller space which takes about two-thirds of the entire threshing space (that is circumference-wise) the florets are closely rubbed by the thresher against the counter thresher. The grains are forced out of the floret due to the compressibility of the florets (This is a personal experience) or are ground due to their weakness. The grains are not crushed because they are harder and due to the flexibility of the rubber materials used for the surfaces of the thresher. The rubber materials absorb the impact hence the grains are not crushed. The studs on the thresher and the latticed projections on the counter thresher help to generate enough frictional force for these actions. The threshed grain and chaff then exit into the output with a sieve in the direction of the arrow 2. The grain and the finer chaff move into a trough below it and allows the much larger crushed stalk to exit the machine in the direction of the arrows 3 and 4. The grains slide down the sloppy sieve and fall through the holes into the bottom trough by gravity. The grain and the finer chaff slide down the trough and pass through an exit attached to it and fall in the direction of the arrow 5. The fan, driven by the electric motor via the belt, blows air in the direction of the arrow 6. The air blows of the chaff in the direction of the arrow 6 and the cleaned grains fall in the direction of the arrow 5 into a container (not shown) due to their much heavier weight.

2.2 Design of the Thresher

The thresher is a cylindrical drum mounted on a rotating shaft. The drum is made of malleable
cast iron with an outer rubber hollow cylinder screwed onto its surface. Malleable cast iron was selected for the design of the drum because of the following desirable properties: Castability, machinability, moderate strength, toughness, corrosion resistance and uniformity since all castings are heat-treated [10]. The diameter of the drum is 200 mm, length is 400 mm and thickness is 5 mm. The outer cylinder is 10 mm thick. The outer surface of the outer cylinder which does the threshing has rows of closely packed studs each of height 5 mm. Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the drum and the outer cylinder respectively.

![Fig. 5. Drum of thresher](image)

![Fig. 6. Outer cylinder of thresher](image)

**Weight (W) of the Thresher (Drum)**

The weight of the thresher drum is given as:

\[ W = \rho \times V \times g \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where,

- \( \rho \) is density of the drum material
- \( V \) is volume of the drum material
- \( g \) is acceleration due to gravity

\[ \rho = \sum (\text{percentage of each element} \times \text{density of each element}) \]

\[ = (0.026 \times 2.3) + (0.016 \times 2.33) + (0.002 \times 7.4) + (0.0004 \times 2.3) + (0.0018 \times 1.8) + (0.9538 \times 7.9) \text{ g/cm}^3 \]

\[ = 7.65106 \text{ g/cm}^3 \]

\[ = 7650 \text{ kg/m}^3 \]

The volume of the hollow drum is given as:

\[ \text{Volume} (V_{H}) = (\pi R^2 H - \pi r^2 H) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The volume of each end cover of the drum is given as:

\[ \text{Volume} (V_{D}) = (\pi R_1^2 H_1 - \pi r_1^2 H_1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where,

- \( R \) is the outer radius of the drum
- \( r \) is the inner radius of the drum
- \( H \) is the height (length) of the drum
- \( R_1 \) is the outer radius of the end covers of the drum
- \( r_1 \) is the inner radius of the end covers of the drum
- \( H_1 \) is the thickness of the end covers of the drum

\[ R = 0.1 \text{ m} \]
\[ r = 0.095 \text{ m} \]
\[ H = 0.39 \]
\[ R_1 = 0.1 \text{ m} \]
\[ r_1 = 0.04 \text{ m (for a shaft diameter of 40 mm)} \]
\[ H_1 = 0.005 \text{ m} \]
Therefore,
\[ V_H = \{((\pi \times 0.1^2 \times 0.39) - (\pi \times 0.095^2 \times 0.39)) \} \]
\[ = 1.1946 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^3 \]
\[ V_D = \{((\pi \times 0.1^2 \times 0.005) - (\pi \times 0.04^2 \times 0.005)) \} \]
\[ = 1.3195 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \]

From equation 1, the weights of the hollow drum and its end covers are calculated as:

The weight of the hollow drum
\[ = 7650 \times 1.1946 \times 10^{-3} \times 9.81 \]
\[ = 89.6505 \text{ N} \]

The weight of each end cover
\[ = 7650 \times 1.3195 \times 10^{-4} \times 9.81 \]
\[ = 9.9024 \text{ N} \]

2.3 The Counter Thresher Design

The counter thresher aids in threshing. It is a 10 mm thick malleable cast iron shaped in the form of half a cylinder into which the thresher is assembled [11]. It has two parts; the lower part (located before the exit to the output) has a smaller space between it and the thresher while upper part (located next to the input) has a much opened space between them. Fig. 7 shows the counter thresher. The more opened space is to cater for bigger sizes of the panicles which could be 2.54 cm or less. A 5 mm thick rubber is screwed onto its surface. The surface of the rubber has a latticed projection to complement the thresher in threshing the millet. Fig. 8 indicates threshing surface of the counter thresher.

Weight of the Counter Thresher

Considering the counter thresher as a perfect rectangle, its weight is given as;

\[ \text{Weight} = \rho \times L \times B \times t \times x \quad (5) \]

where,
\[ L = \text{length} = 0.1015 \text{ m} \]
\[ B = \text{breadth of the counter thresher} = 0.42 \text{ m} \]
\[ t = \text{thickness of the counter thresher} = 0.01 \text{ m} \]

But volume,
\[ V_C = 0.1015 \times 0.42 \times 0.01 = 4.2630 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 \]

Using equation (5), the weight of the counter thresher, \( W_C \) is calculated as;
\[ W_C = 7650 \times 4.2630 \times 10^{-4} \times 9.81 \]
\[ = 31.9923 \text{ N} \]

2.4 The Shaft of the Thresher

Fig. 9 shows the shaft of the thresher. The shaft transmits the power from the drive member to the thresher. Medium carbon steel was selected for the shaft. Medium carbon steels offer a range of properties after quenching and tempering; hence have wide range of uses including manufacturing of shafts [12]. The shaft would therefore be able to withstand stresses and deflections. Also, steel is cheaper with a competitive modulus of elasticity compared to other material candidates.
The Frame

This is made from steel channel (C3 × 6) bars. The support for the counter thresher is made of square steel bars. The frame offers support for the thresher, the output and the fan. The joints are welded to provide it with a permanent rigidity in operation. Fig. 10 shows the frame.

The Drive Members

The drive members (one shown in Fig. 11) are used to transmit the power from the motor to the respective shafts. The use of flexible machine elements for transmitting power simplifies the design of a machine and substantially reduces its cost [13]. Therefore, V-belts were used. According to [14], a V-belt drive has the following advantages:

1. The drive is positive, because the slip between the belt and the pulley groove is negligible
2. It provides longer life, 3 to 5 years
3. It can easily be installed and removed
4. The operation of the belt is quiet
5. The belt has the ability to cushion the shock when the machine is started

V-Belt Parameters

According to [13], the Pitch length \( L_p \) of the belt is given by:

\[
L_p = \frac{\pi}{2}(d_1 + d_2) + 2X + \frac{(d_2 - d_1)^2}{4X}
\]  

where,

\( d_1 \) is the diameter of driven pulley = 0.12 m
\( d_2 \) is the diameter of driver pulley = 0.095 m
\( X \) is the centre distance between the driver and the driven shaft = 1 m (assumed)

\[
L_p = \frac{\pi}{2}(0.095 + 0.12) + 2(1) + \frac{(0.1 - 0.095)^2}{4 \times 1} = 2.3378775\ m = 2337.8775\ mm
\]

Also,

\[
L_p = L + L_C
\]

where,

\( L \) = inside diameter of the belt and \( L_C \) = length conversion dimension

Table 2 shows belt length conversion dimensions in millimetres.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Belt section</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality to added</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\text{[13]}\]
From Table 2, \( L_c = 32 \text{ mm} \) for an A-section V-belt

\[
\Delta L = L_p - L_c = 2337.8775 - 32 = 2305.8775 \text{ mm}
\]

The actual centre to centre distance (X) is calculated as:

\[
X = 0.25 \left( L_p - \frac{\pi}{2} (d_1 + d_2) \right) + \sqrt{\left( L_p - \frac{\pi}{2} (d_1 - d_2) \right)^2 - 2(d_1 - d_2)^2} \tag{8}
\]

\[
= 0.25 \left( 2.282 - \frac{\pi}{2} (0.12 + 0.095) \right) + \sqrt{\left( 2.282 - \frac{\pi}{2} (0.12 - 0.095) \right)^2 - 2(0.12 - 0.095)^2} = 1046.6825 \text{ mm}
\]

Angle of Wrap

From [14]

\[
\sin \alpha = \frac{d_1 - d_2}{2X} \tag{9}
\]

where,

- \( \alpha \) is the angle between the point at which the belt leaves the pulley and the normal.
- \( d_1 \) is the Diameter of Driven pulley = 0.12 m
- \( d_2 \) is the Diameter of Driver pulley = 0.095 m

\( X \) is the Centre distance of the driver and the driven shaft = 1046.6825 mm

\[
\sin \alpha = \frac{0.12 - 0.095}{2 \times 1.0467} = 0.6843 \degree
\]

\( \alpha = 0.6843 \degree \)

From [14], the angle of wrap is stated as:

\[
\theta = \left( 180 \degree - 2\alpha \right) \frac{n}{100} \tag{10}
\]

where,

- \( \theta \) is the angle of wrap

\[
\theta = \left( 180 \degree - 2 \times 0.6843 \right) \frac{n}{100} = 3.1177 \text{ rad.}
\]

Speed (V) of the Belt

From [13], the speed V is given as;

\[
V = \frac{\pi d_2 n_1}{60} \tag{11}
\]

where,

- \( n_1 \) is the speed of the electric motor’s pulley which is 1200 rpm
- \( d_2 \) is the diameter of driver pulley = 0.095 m

Power Ratings of the Belt

From [13], the design power \( H_d \) is given as;

\[
H_d = H_{\text{nom}} K_s n_d \tag{12}
\]

where,

- \( H_{\text{nom}} \) is the nominal power (that is the power of the electric motor, 2 kW)
- \( K_s \) is a service factor
- \( n_d \) is the design factor

For uniform drive and normal torque characteristics, \( K_s \) is 1(Table 5)

A design factor of 1 is used.

\[
\Delta H_d = 2.0 \times 10^3 \times 1 \times 1 = 2.0 \times 10^3 \text{ kW}
\]

From [13], the allowable power per belt, \( H_a \) is given as;

\[
H_a = K_1 K_2 H_{\text{tab}} \tag{13}
\]

where,

- \( K_1 \) is angle of wrap correction factor
- \( K_2 \) is belt length correction factor
- \( H_{\text{tab}} \) is the tabulated power

For an A-section V-belt running at a speed of 5.9690 m/s in a pulley of sheave diameter 95 mm, the tabulated power per belt \( H_{\text{tab}} \) is 0.7811 kW.

\[
K_1 = 1 \text{ for an angle of wrap of almost } 180 \degree \text{ [13]}
\]

\[
K_2 = 0.90 \text{ for a belt length between 0.95 and 1.15 m [13]}
\]

\[
\therefore H_a = 1 \times 0.90 \times 0.7811 = 0.7030 \text{ kW}
\]
Number of Belts Required

From [13], the number of belts $N_b$ required for the power transmission is given as:

$$N_b \geq \frac{H_d}{H_a}$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)

where,

- $H_a$ is the allowable power per belt
- $H_d$ is the design power

∴ $N_b \geq \frac{2.0}{0.7} = 2.857 \geq 3$ belts (the next higher integer)

Tensions in the Belts

From [13],

The tight side tension is:

$$F_1 = F_c + \frac{\Delta F \exp(f\theta)}{\exp(f\theta)-1}$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)

and the loose-side tension is:

$$F_2 = F_1 - \Delta F$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

where,

- $F_c$ is centrifugal tension
- $\Delta F$ is tension due to the transmitted torque
- $\theta$ is the angle of wrap in radians
- $f$ is coefficient of friction

The tension due to the transmitted torque ($\Delta F$) is given as:

$$\Delta F = \frac{H_d/N_b}{\pi d_2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (17)

∴ $\Delta F = \frac{2.0}{0.7 \times 20 \times 0.095} = 111.6877$ N

From [13], the centrifugal tension ($F_c$) is given as:

$$F_c = K_c \left(\frac{V}{2.4}\right)^2$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

where,

- $K_c$ is a V-belt parameter
- $V$ is the velocity of the belt

For an A- section V-belt, $K_c$ is 0.56, (Table 3)

∴ $F_c = 0.561 \left(\frac{5.96}{2.4}\right)^2 = 3.4701$ N

For a V-belt made of rubber material, the effective coefficient of friction is 0.5123 [13]

∴ $F_1 = 3.4701 + \frac{1.14 \times 70 \exp(0.51 \times 23 \times 3.0 \times 0.02 \exp(0.51 \times 23 \times 3.0 \times 0.02 \times 1}} = 144.1290$ N

Hence,

$$F_2 = 144.1290 - 111.6877 = 32.4413$ N

The belt tension in reaction to flexural stresses in the tight side ($F_{b1}$) is given as:

$$F_{b1} = \frac{K_b}{d_2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (19)

where,

- $K_b$ is a V-belt parameter
- $d_2$ is the diameter of the driver pulley in inches = 3.7402 in.

For an A- section V-belt $K_b$ is 220 (Table 3).

∴ $F_{b1} = \frac{220}{3.7402} = 58.8204$ lbf = 261.7508 N

Belt tension in reaction to flexural stresses in the loose side ($F_{b2}$) is given as:

$$F_{b2} = \frac{K_b}{d_1}$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

where,

- $K_b$ is a V-belt parameter
- $d_1$ is the diameter of driven pulley in inches = 4.7244 in.

∴ $F_{b2} = \frac{220}{4.7244} = 46.5668$ lbf = 207.2223 N

The total tension in the belt in the tight side is therefore given as;

$$T_1 = F_1 + F_{b1}$$ \hspace{1cm} (21)

∴ $T_1 = 144.1290 + 261.7508 = 405.8798$ N

The total tension in the belt in the loose side is also given as;

$$T_2 = F_2 + F_{b2}$$ \hspace{1cm} (22)

∴ $T_2 = 32.4413 + 207.2223 = 239.6636$
Shaft Design Calculations

The diameter of the shaft is determined by taking the maximum bending moment and maximum shear force into consideration. The loading diagram is shown in Fig. 12.

Table 3. Some V-Belt parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Belt section</th>
<th>$K_b$</th>
<th>$K_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Source: 13]

Fig. 12. Force diagram of the shaft of the thresher

From Fig. 12,

$$R_A = 2517.6172 \text{ N} \quad \text{and} \quad R_B = -471.5308 \text{ N}$$

The shear force and the bending moments at the critical sections are calculated and presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Results of the shear forces and bending moments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>At</th>
<th>Shear force (N)</th>
<th>Bending moment (N.m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>471.5308</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>481.4332</td>
<td>-14.1460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>571.0837</td>
<td>-224.6491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>580.9861</td>
<td>-242.0789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-1936.6311</td>
<td>-48.4158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Torque transmitted by the shaft

$$T = \frac{6 \, \phi P}{2 \pi N}$$

where,

- $T$ is the transmitted torque
- $P$ is the power of the motor
- $N$ is the speed of the shaft

$$\therefore T = \frac{6 \times 20 \times 0.0}{2 \times \pi \times 950} = 20.1038 \text{ Nm}$$

From [14],

The equivalent torque is given as;

$$T_e = \sqrt{M^2 + T^2}$$

(24)

where,

- $T_e$ is the equivalent torque
- $M$ is the maximum bending moment
- $T$ is the transmitted torque

$$\therefore T_e = \sqrt{242.0789^2 \times 20.1038^2} = 242.9122 \text{ Nm}$$

Also,

$$T_e = \frac{\pi}{2} \times \tau \times d^3$$

(25)

where,

- $\tau$ is the torsional shear stress the shaft is subjected to, and
- $d$ is the diameter of the shaft

But $t_{max} = 42 \text{ MPa}$ for shafts with allowance for keys [14]

\[ \therefore 242.9122 = \frac{\pi}{16} \times \tau \times d^3; \Rightarrow d = \frac{\frac{1}{16} \times 242.9122}{\frac{\pi \times 42 \times \frac{2}{1}}{\pi}} = 0.0308833 \text{ m} = 30.8833 \text{ mm} \]

Also, the equivalent moment is given as;
\[ M_e = \frac{1}{2} \left( M + \sqrt{M^2 + T^2} \right) \quad (26) \]
where,
\[ M_e \text{ is the equivalent bending moment} \]
\[ \therefore M_e = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 242.0789 + \sqrt{20.1038^2 + 242.0789^2} \right] = 242.4956 \text{ Nm} \]

But,
\[ M_e = \frac{\pi}{32} \times \sigma_b \times d^3 \quad (27) \]
where,
\[ \sigma_b \text{ is the working stress(tensile or compressive)} \]
\[ \sigma_{bmax} = 84 \text{ MPa for shafts with allowance for keys [14]} \]
\[ \therefore d = \frac{\frac{32 \times 242.4956}{\pi \times 8 \times 1 \times \frac{2}{1}}}{\frac{\pi}{32}} = 0.0308656 \text{ m} = 30.8656 \text{ mm}. \]

The diameter in each case is almost the same. Therefore a diameter 40 mm is selected. For shafts of diameters between 25 mm and 60 mm, the steps for shoulders should be 5 mm [14]. Therefore, the lager diameter is taken as 40 mm and the smaller diameter as 35 mm.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The millet thresher mainly employs the effects of friction (taking advantage of the fact that millets can be effectively threshed by rubbing the panicles on a rough surface) for threshing. The millet thresher has a thresher welded to a steel shaft and then supported on a frame. There is an input mounted on the thresher and an output fitted to the exit. The output comprises a sieve and a tray. A centrifugal fan supported on the frame supplies air that winnows the threshed grain coming out of the tray and the cleaned millet grains fall into a collector. Fig. 2 shows the isometric and orthographic views of the millet thresher while Fig. 3 shows the exploded view of the proposed design of the millet thresher. Fig. 4 shows the operational sequence of the proposed design. The thresher rotates in the anticlockwise direction at a speed of 950 revolutions per minute. Malleable cast iron was selected for the design of the drum for maximum output. Medium carbon steel for the shaft offers a range of properties after quenching and tempering and therefore will be able to withstand stresses and deflections. The values of the parameters calculated are reasonable. The diameter of the shaft is calculated using the equivalent torque and equivalent bending moment and the results are 30.88 mm and 30.87 mm respectively which are reasonable.

4. CONCLUSION

A community based millet thresher to reduce the burden farmers go through in threshing pearl millet has been designed. The millet thresher would be a relief to farmers particularly women in the rural communities who would now rechannel those long laborious hours spent in threshing millets into other productive ventures. The quality of the grains will improve since the possibility of wind introducing foreign materials into the millet is reduced. This design will reduce the stresses caused by manual hand threshing method which is a slow and laborious process. It will also reduce the damage caused to the seeds. This will invariably increase food production and improve the economy.
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